



RECEIVED

JUN 06 1997

Air Quality



10901AIR

June 5, 1997

Ursula K. Trueman  
Department of Environmental Quality  
Division of Air Quality  
P.O. Box 144820  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4820

Dear Ms. Trueman:

551U1E


In your May 14<sup>th</sup> letter you indicated that our April 7<sup>th</sup> letter of response was unacceptable and that our next step would be to go before the Utah Air Quality Board or a hearing officer. While we still want to maintain our right to present our case before the Board, we are still open to discussing this matter further in hopes of coming up with a settlement agreement that is acceptable to all parties.

We feel that the next step would be for DAQ to draft a "response to our response". To this point we have only received a list of alleged violations and a list of proposed penalties instead of an outline of the facts that support DAQ's position.

Finally, it is important to restate again that the fact that independent third party testing verified that we were operating far below the limits of our AO and that the CEM requirement of the original AO was a mistake now recognized by DAQ and is not part of our current AO.

We look forward to your response.

Cordially,

  
Craig N. Thatcher  
President

cc Dr. Dale Hansen